



TURBOCAM
INTERNATIONAL

DE 10-160



June 6, 2011

Debra Howland, Executive Director
NH Public Utilities Commission
21 South Fruit Street, Suite 10
Concord, NH 03301-2429

RE: Docket 10-160 (PSNH Customer Migration)

Dear Director Howland:

I am writing to you to convey Turbocam, Inc.'s concern with the non-bypassable charges that Public Service Company of New Hampshire ("PSNH") desires to impose on all their distribution customers (Commission Docket DE 10-160). As we understand the proposal, \$40 Million of energy charges that would otherwise be assessed only to PSNH Energy Service ("ES") customers would instead be assessed on a non-bypassable basis to all PSNH customers. This would result in an electric cost increase of about $\frac{1}{2}$ ¢/kWh; approximately \$32000 annually to Turbocam, Inc.

Further, and due to PSNH's questionable decision to install a \$430 Million Scrubber at its 40 year-old coal-fired Merrimack Station, it appears likely that PSNH may also attempt to recover this cost as "non-bypassable" in the future, provided they obtain the authority from the Legislature.

Turbocam, Inc. has embraced its right to access the competitive power market and we believe the New Hampshire restructuring laws were intended to allow meaningful choice for the electric consumer. The PSNH proposal, if imposed by the Commission, seriously erodes electric choice and leaves large electric consumers such as Turbocam, Inc. vulnerable to PSNH's power supply choice and potentially flawed decision-making. We request the Commission reject the proposed non-bypassable charge and require PSNH to make the same hard decisions that companies like Turbocam, Inc. make every day to remain competitive.

Sincerely,

B. Eliot Wilkins
Director, Machine Maintenance & Technical Services